Application No.: 10/679.100
Applicant(s): Steve Berger and Lawrence Scipioni
Filing Date: October 3, 2003
Amendment Dated March 25, 2004
Reply to the Office Action of March 12, 2004

*Attorney Docket No.: MIM-067.02*

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-20 and 22-23 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of copending application No. 09/513,826. Applicants submit a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 to overcome the obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Claim 21 stand objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 20. Claim 21 is cancelled.

Applicants submit that the application is now in condition for allowance and respectfully request a timely Notice of Allowance be issued.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 3/25/04

By: _____

Michael O. Scheinberg
Patent Reg. 36,919
P.O. Box 164140
Austin, Texas 78716-4140
Telephone: (512) 328-9510
Facsimile: (512) 306-1963



PAGE 10/10 * RCVD AT 3/25/2004 4:40:36 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/0 * DNIS:7469195 * CSID:512 306 1963 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-22